

- [Download Lynda.com - Objective-C Essential Training](#)
- [Buy Cheap Adobe Photoshop Elements 10 & Adobe Premiere Elements 10](#)
- [Discount - Autodesk AutoCAD P&ID 2011](#)
- [Buy Cheap Geometric CAMWorks 2014 64-bit](#)
- [Buy Adobe Photoshop Elements 12 MAC \(en,de,fr,ja\)](#)
- [Download Infinite Skills - Learning Autodesk Mudbox 2012 MAC](#)
- [99.95\\$ Microsoft Office Visio Professional 2007 SP2 cheap oem](#)
- [Download TuneUp Utilities 2008](#)
- [Buy Cheap Adobe Creative Suite 5.5 Design Standard Student And Teacher Edition](#)

MAC

- [Buy Cheap Corel VideoStudio Pro X6](#)
- [Buy Word 2010 For Dummies \(en\)](#)
- [Discount - DAZ Carrara 8 Pro MAC](#)

WASHINGTON – Senator Chuck Grassley today questioned why the Justice Department declined to prosecute an Assistant United States Attorney after the department's Inspector General found at least one image of child pornography on the attorney's work computer. The Inspector General also determined that the attorney had spent hours viewing adult content during work hours.

According to the Inspector General's report, the Assistant U.S. Attorney acknowledged he had spent a significant amount of time each day viewing pornography. The report also cited that at least one image of child pornography was recovered on the attorney's government computer. The report indicates that the U.S. Attorney's office declined to prosecute the case and as of May 31, 2011, disciplinary action against the attorney was still pending.

Grassley said he was concerned that the attorney, who admitted viewing pornography on the taxpayers' dime, was employed by the federal government at least two months after the allegations were outlined in the Inspector General report.

In a letter to Attorney General Eric Holder, Grassley questioned the department's decision to not prosecute and delay disciplinary action against the attorney. He also asked the types of cases the attorney worked on and the steps the department has taken to update its technology to keep pornography off its computers.

Child Pornography Found on Assistant U.S. Attorney's Computer, Attorney was also Viewing Adult Conte

Written by Grassley Press
Friday, 08 July 2011 12:15

Last year, Grassley learned that 33 employees at the Securities and Exchange Commission who were found to have viewed pornography during work hours were not terminated and were given uneven and light disciplinary action.

Here is a copy of the text of Grassley's letter to Holder. [Click here for a copy of the signed letter](#)

July 7, 2011

The Honorable Eric H. Holder, Jr.

Attorney General

U.S. Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20530

Dear Attorney General Holder:

Written by Grassley Press
Friday, 08 July 2011 12:15

On May 31, 2011 I received a report from the Department of Justice (DOJ) Office of Inspector General (OIG) in response to a request Senator Coburn and I made to all Inspectors General to provide semiannual reports on closed investigations, evaluations, and audits that were not disclosed to the public.

This report contained what appears to be an inexcusable mishandling of serious allegations against an Assistant United States Attorney (AUSA) which calls into question the DOJ's internal controls and prosecutorial discretion. The report cites the following OIG investigation of an AUSA:

“The OIG conducted an investigation concerning allegations that an AUSA was using his government computer to view inappropriate material on his government computer. The investigation determined that the AUSA routinely viewed adult content during official duty hours, and that there was at least one image of child pornography recovered on the AUSA's government computer. The AUSA acknowledged that he had spent a significant amount of time each day viewing pornography. The U.S. Attorney's Office declined prosecution. Disciplinary action against the AUSA is pending.”

This report relates to OIG investigations from October 1, 2010 through March 31, 2011 and was submitted two months later. As the case for disciplinary action is “pending” as of May 31, 2011, this means that, at the very least, the DOJ has allowed an admitted serial viewer of pornography – possibly child pornography – to serve as an AUSA for two months, if not longer, and has yet to take action. This is simply unacceptable and compounds the questions raised by the fact that this AUSA was found to have “at least one image of child pornography” on his government computer and yet he was not charged with a crime.

Regarding the DOJ's decisions in this case, I respectfully ask the following questions:

1. Is this individual still employed by the Department of Justice?
 - a. If so, in what capacity?
 - b. If not, when did this individual leave employment with the Department of Justice?
 - i. Was this departure voluntary or were they terminated?
2. Is this individual eligible for a government pension?

a. If so, has the DOJ made any efforts to strip this individual of his or her pension?

i. If so, what efforts have been made?

ii. If not, why not?

3. What types of cases did this AUSA handle?

4. Did the cases this AUSA was assigned to handle ever lead to any interaction with children?

5. Was the decision not to prosecute this individual made by the U.S. Attorney's Office in which he or she served?

a. If so, did that raise any concerns regarding a conflict of interest?

b. If not, what office made the decision not to prosecute this individual?

6. How was this individual able to evade the DOJ's pornography filters?

7. Has the DOJ made efforts to upgrade its pornography filters as a result of this individual's actions?

a. If so, what efforts have been made?

Written by Grassley Press
Friday, 08 July 2011 12:15

b. If not, why not?

Thank you for cooperation and attention in this matter. I would appreciate a response by July 21, 2011. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact [\(202\) 224-5225](tel:202-224-5225).

Sincerely,

Charles E. Grassley
Ranking Member
Committee on the Judiciary