

Paul v Romney Donations Show Stark Difference

Written by Gary Howard

Tuesday, 25 October 2011 11:45

- [Download Intuit Quicken 2009 Home & Business \[Canada\]](#)
- [Discount - Adobe InDesign CS5 Classroom in a Book](#)
- [Download Lynda.com - Outlook 2013 Essential Training](#)
- [279.95\\$ Autodesk AutoCAD Design Suite Premium 2013 \(64-bit\) cheap oem](#)
- [Discount - Adobe Dreamweaver CS4](#)
- [Buy OEM Alien Skin Eye Candy 7 MAC](#)
- [Buy OEM Lynda.com - HTML5 Structure Syntax and Semantics](#)
- [Discount - Adobe Presenter 9](#)
- [Download Microsoft Office Access 2007](#)
- [Buy MathWorks MatLab R2009b \(32-bit\) \(en\)](#)
- [Discount - Dragon NaturallySpeaking 10](#)
- [Discount - Sage ACT Premium 2012](#)
- [Discount - Pinnacle Studio 12 Ultimate](#)

Paul raised most from active military, Romney tapped big banks LAKE JACKSON, Texas – A recent [independent analysis](#) of candidates' campaign contributions reveals an interesting disparity between the Ron Paul 2012 Presidential Campaign and frontrunner Mitt Romney's campaign. Romney's top supporters appear to be made up of big banks while, unsurprisingly, Paul's top contributors were men and women serving in the U.S. Armed Forces.

“This fundraising analysis confirms Americans' beliefs about Ron Paul and their suspicions about Mitt Romney. It is that Dr. Paul is extraordinarily popular and accepted by the everyman and by everyday heroes, while Romney relies almost exclusively on his big-business ties,” said Ron Paul 2012 National Campaign Chairman Jesse Benton.

According to the analysis, the top three organizations that employ Romney's supporters are Goldman Sachs, Credit Suisse Group, and Morgan Stanley. Reflecting his popularity with the everyman and our uniformed service men and women, the top three organizations that employ Dr. Paul's supporters are the U.S. Air Force, the U.S. Army, and the U.S. Navy.

The study notes that the organizations themselves did not contribute. Rather, “the money came from the organization's PAC, its individual members or employees or owners, and those individuals' immediate families.” The analysis suggests, then, that the use of PACs, bundling, subsidiaries and the like was not a feature of Dr. Paul's grassroots fundraising.

###